



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: In lines 1-2, the claim has a typographical error by reciting "subject subject". Claim(s) 22, 23, 25-29, 33, 35, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter because these claims are method or process claims that do not transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing, nor are they tied to a particular machine. Applicant's representative and Examiner agreed to a proposed amendment to overcome the objection and the rejection above. .